		ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Frademark Office OR PATENTS
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,047	02/17/2004	Steven P. Gygi	57559 (70207)	8390
21874 75	i90 08/08/2006		EXAM	INER
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			SHEN, BIN	
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	02205			

٠

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/781,047	GYGİ ET AL.	GYGÍ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit			
	Bin Shen	1655		
The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	LING DATE OF THIS COMMU 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I by statute, cause the application to becom	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 30 lune 2006			
)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for	·	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is	
closed in accordance with the practice	•			
Disposition of Claims	· · · ·			
4) Claim(s) $1-46$ is/are pending in the app (12) Of the above claim(c) 1.5.22.24 on		anaideration		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5,23,24 an</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u>a 20-40</u> is/are withdrawn from (consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-22 and 25</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement			
Application Papers				
9) \boxtimes The specification is objected to by the B				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		-		
Applicant may not request that any objection				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attac	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in	Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage		
application from the Internationa	• • • •			
* See the attached detailed Office action f	for a list of the certified copies r	not received.		
Attachment(s)				
		(DTO (40)		
I) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🚺 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)		
	0-948) Paper I	w Summary (P10-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

.

ł

DETAILED ACTION

Election

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 6-22 and 25, in the reply filed on 6/30/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is a unifying inventive concept. This is not found persuasive because this is not a case filed under 35 USC 371, but under 35 USC 121 where each of the inventions are independent, distinct, and there is search burden if any two groups are searched together for the reasons stated in the previous restriction requirement. Applicant is reminded of the extensive literature search in this art which is not coextensive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The IDS received 9/20/2004, 10/04/2004, 3/10/2006, the preliminary amendment received 7/16/2004 have been entered.

Claims 1-5, 23, 24, 26-46 are nonelected and thus are withdrawn from further consideration.

Only claims 6-22, 25 are presented for examination on the merits.

Specification

1. The use of the trademark "LeadQuest" on page 25, has been noted in this application. They should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any

manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

2. The specification is objected to for inappropriate notation of an internet address. On page 18, 2nd full paragraph, an internet address is cited in an unacceptable form. See MPEP § 707.05(e) for the acceptable notation of an internet address.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 13 is objected to as depending from a non-elected claim. The Examiner recommends rewriting Claim 13 to incorporate all the language of Claim 1, from which it co-depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 6-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Desiderio (J Chromatography B 1999;731:3-21).

Desiderio teaches a method for determining the presence/quantity of a target polypeptide in a mixture of different polypeptides by providing a mixture of human tissue extracts (from human with metabolic defects and normal controlpage 5, 2.1 and 2.2), adding two known quantity of labeled (labeled differently with stable isotopes) peptide internal

standard (page 5, 2.4), treating the mixture with a protease (trypsin-page 7, 2.6), fragmenting the peptides in the mixture by multistage mass spectrometry (tandem mass spectrometer-page 7, 2.8), determining the ratio of labeled fragments to unlabeled fragments and calculating the quantity of the target polypeptide in the mixture (page 7, 2.10.1 and page 8, 2.10.2). Peptides are separated by HPLC chromatograph (page 6, under Chromatograph, 2.5.1-2.5.4) and the fractions (elutent and co-elutent) are shown in Fig. 5-7. The presence/quantity of target polypeptide is diagnostic of a cell state where the cell state is representative of an abnormal physiological response (human pituitary macroadenomas-page 9, 3.1), and the target polypeptide is determined in at least two mixtures (abstract and for details see pages 9-14, pages 14-17).

Therefore, the cited reference is deemed to anticipate the instant claims above.

Conclusion

5. No claim is allowed.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 571-273-8300. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status

- - *

of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify problem. applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning rejections or objections in this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bin Shen, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from about 9:00 AM to about 5:30 PM. A phone message left at this number will be responded to as soon as possible (i.e., shortly after the examiner returns to her

office).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Terry McKelvey can be reached at (571) 272-0775.

MICHÁEL MELLER PRIMARY EXAMINER

B Shen

Art Unit 1655